



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,553	07/10/2003	Qi Bi	67,108-015;Bi 29-18-2-5	2811

26096 7590 02/07/2007  
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.  
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD  
SUITE 350  
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009

EXAMINER
----------

CUMMING, WILLIAM D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2617

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	02/07/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/616,553

Applicant(s)

QI BI

Examiner

WILLIAM D. CUMMING

Art Unit

2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/1/06.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-9,11 and 13-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-9,11 and 13-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_


## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
3. Claims 1-3, 5, 7,8,9, 11, and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Amin** in view of **Beeson, Jr., et al**


**Amin** discloses a service support method in a wireless data network (figure 2), comprising associating a user identification code with a service class (figure 3A-D) and servicing a user according to the service class associated with the user identification code (*"In accordance with the present invention, network resources associated with a wireless communication network are managed by ascertaining, for a wireless communication device, a device type and a required service; and assigning the network resources to the wireless communication device in accordance with the device type and the required service.*

**FIG. 2**



*A profile is associated with the wireless communication device. The profile includes a travelling class mark field which identifies the device type of the wireless communication device, and a service class mark field which identifies the required service for the wireless communication device.*

*Also, in accordance with the present invention, a method and a service control point for managing resources in a wireless communication network are provided. A profile for a wireless communication device is stored in a service control point,*

**FIG. 3A**

and the profile for the wireless communication device is provided in response to a profile request from a mobile service center.

Further, in accordance with the present invention, a method and a mobile service center (MSC) for obtaining information about a wireless communication device and wireless communication service for the wireless communication device are provided.

The MSC determines that the wireless communication device is in the area served by the MSC, and the MSC requests, via a communication network, a profile for the wireless communication device from a service control point which permanently stores the profile. In the present invention, the MSC has no wireless communication devices permanently

associated therewith.")

Amin does not disclose the step of allocating a temporary user identification code to the user when a session with the wireless data network is open and wherein the temporary user identification code is de-allocated when the session is closed.

**Skubic, et al** teaches the use of the step of allocating a temporary user identification code to the user when a session with the wireless data network is open and wherein the temporary user identification code is de-allocated when the session is closed (*"When a mobile station is first powered up within a specified mobile network, the international mobile subscriber identification (IMSI) is used by the mobile station to identify itself. This IMSI is used to route a request for VLR data to the WSM that contains that data. Each protocol handler of the WSGM contains a table that stores the IMSI-WSM map, the table being created from data supplied by the WSMS. In order to allow HLR and, where possible, associated VLR records to be stored in any WSM, this look-up table has one entry per IMSI. During the location update or registration process, the SM that stores the VLR data will associate a Temporary Mobile Subscriber Identification (TMSI) with a mobile station. The TMSI, whose value, while at least in part random, is not otherwise constricted according to the GSM standard, is specially encoded with the identity of the WSM (i.e., a switching module having wireless software) that contains the VLR so that accessing the proper WSM for incoming messages when VLR data is required is simplified if the TMSI is available. Randomness of the TMSI is maintained by randomizing three of its four octets. Except on initial mobile station power up, as described above, the TMSI will normally be used for all BSSAP transactions. When a mobile station initiates a transaction (such as a call or location update), the SCCP connection data base that stores information about the transaction, also stores information to identify*

*the WSM that contains VLR data as well as the WSM that contains the trunk connected to the BSS. This is used for the routing of all subsequent messages for this connection, which contain no TMSI."*) in a wireless data network (figure 1) for the purpose of accessing the proper wireless switching module for incoming messages when VLR data is required. Hence, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to incorporate the use of the step of allocating a temporary user identification code to the user when a session with the wireless data network is open and wherein the temporary user identification code is de-allocated when the session is closed as taught by **Beeson, Jr. et al** for the purpose of accessing the proper wireless switching module for incoming messages when VLR data is required in the service support method of **Amin** in order use the temporary user identification code be used for all call and location updates, the SCCP connection data base that stores information about the transaction, also stores information to identify the wireless switching module that contains VLR data as well as the wireless switching module that contains the truck connected to the BSS.

Regarding the temporary user identification code is a unicast access terminal identifier, applicants admit that UATI is prior art and well known in the art.

***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments filed November 1, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that **Amin** can not ever be modify by anything, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to incorporate the use of the step of allocating a temporary user identification code to the user when a session with the wireless data network is open and wherein the temporary user identification code is de-allocated when the session is closed as taught by **Beeson, Jr. et al**



for the purpose of accessing the proper wireless switching module for incoming messages when VLR data is required in the service support method of **Amin** in order use the temporary user identification code be used for all call and location updates, the SCCP connection data base that stores information about the transaction, also stores information to identify the wireless switching module that contains VLR data as well as the wireless switching module that contains the truck connected to the BSS.

Applicants' attorney argues that, "*The permanent identification essentially storage in the Amin reference renders an additional temporary identification essentially useless because it would be redundant to the information that is permanently stored in the Amin reference.*" First, the claims and **Beeson, Jr. et al** state a temporary user identification code, NOT a additional temporary identification. That would assume that there was another temporary identification. Applicants' attorneys remarks are very confusing and not clear. The examiner is assume that the attorney meant temporary user identification code. There is no "*additional*" anything in the claims. Second, Applicants' attorney admits that there is some uses of temporary user identification code of **Beeson, Jr. et al** because applicant's attorney did not state that it is totally useless. Any use, no matter how little, is enough for obviousness. It seems that applicants' attorney has now sole attributor on what is useful and useless in the art . Third, where in the reference does it state that any is a temporary identification would be redundant? **Beeson, et al** clearly teaches of a need for a temporary user

identification code and with a permanent user identification code. Where does it state in **Amin** that the only a permanent user identification code can be used and never a temporary user identification code? Where in **Amin** does it state that the permanent user identification code be used for all call and location updates, the SCCP connection data base that stores information about the transaction, also stores information to identify the wireless switching module that contains VLR data as well as the wireless switching module that contains the truck connected to the BSS? Fourth, Applicants admit in there specification using a temporary user identification code and with a permanent user identification code is well know in the art. Fifth, Applicants' attorney is arguing that **Amin** cannot be modify at all by anything.

Given by this overwhelming evidence, applicants own admissions and remarks by attorney this application is **NOT** in condition for allowance and no monopoly shall be given for such an obvious invention.

### Conclusion

5. If applicants wish to request for an interview, an "*Applicant Initiated Interview Request*" form (PTOL-413A) should be submitted to the examiner prior to the interview in order to permit the examiner to prepare in advance for the interview and to focus on the issues to be discussed. This form should identify the participants of the interview, the proposed date of the interview, whether the interview will be personal, telephonic, or video conference, and should include a brief description of the issues to be discussed. A copy of the completed "*Applicant Initiated Interview Request*" form should be attached to the Interview Summary form, PTOL-413 at the completion of the interview and a copy should be given to applicant or applicant's representative.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Toth, et al disclose a temporary user identification code and with a permanent user identification code.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

8. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

9. If applicants request an interview after this final rejection, prior to the interview, the intended purpose and content of the interview should be presented briefly, in writing.

Such an interview may be granted if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration.

Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations which would require more than nominal reconsideration or new search will be denied.

#### 10. **Electronic Notification of Outgoing Correspondence (e-Office Action)**

Effective December 16, 2006, the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office) will begin a pilot program to provide a limited number of Private PAIR users with the option of receiving electronic notification of some outgoing correspondence related to their US patents and US national patent applications retrievable through Private PAIR instead of a paper mailing of the correspondence. Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) applications will not be included in this pilot.

Participants in this pilot program will no longer receive paper mailings for most correspondence originating from a Technology Center. However, since several areas of the Office have independent mailing processes, pilot participants will continue to receive paper mailings for correspondence originating from several areas of the Office including, but not limited to: Office of Initial Patent Examination, Petitions, PCT, Appeals, Publications, Interference, and Reexamination.

A Private PAIR user will be able to opt-in to receive electronic mail message (email) notifications of outgoing correspondence by selecting the appropriate choice on the Customer Number Details screen for a customer number associated with a correspondence address after logging in to Private PAIR and providing between one and three email addresses to be used for these notifications. The Private Pair user must be a registered patent attorney or agent of record, or a pro se inventor who is a named inventor in the application associated with the customer number through which Private PAIR is accessed. The Office will then send a notification to each provided email address if a new outgoing correspondence has been prepared for the patents or patent applications associated with the user's Customer Number. Each email notification will list all applications, associated with the corresponding Customer Number, in which new outgoing correspondence was prepared for the corresponding electronic application files within the preceding 24 hours. Each email notification will be entered into the corresponding application files. The new outgoing correspondence will become available for viewing and downloading through Private PAIR within two business days of the date of the email notification.

Applicants will have the ability to opt-in or opt-out of receiving electronic notification of Office actions at any time. However, the status of each individual outgoing correspondence, whether electronic or paper, will be determined at the time of the printing of the form PTOL-90 cover sheet (at the time the outgoing correspondence becomes available for viewing, i.e., the date indicated on the correspondence).

The email notification described above will be sent after the Office action has been prepared and entered into the record. The period for reply to any Office correspondence to which a reply is required will commence on the date indicated on the outgoing Office such outgoing correspondence for all other purposes (e.g., 37 CFR 1.71(g)(2), 1.97(b), 1.701 through 1.705). The Office communication will become available for downloading and viewing through Private PAIR on the date indicated on the correspondence.

If none of the documents in each of the applications listed in the email notifications are viewed or downloaded through Private PAIR within seven calendar days after the emails are sent, a courtesy postcard notifying the applicant of the availability of electronic Office action will be mailed to the correspondence address associated with the applicant's corresponding Customer Number for each of those applications. The mailing of a courtesy postcard will not restart the time period for reply, and the period for reply to any outgoing Office correspondence to which a reply is required will continue to be measured from the date indicated on such outgoing Office correspondence.

Please note that the email notification procedure outlined above is simply an automated email sent by the Office to alert applicant that an official Office correspondence has been entered in the official record that will be available for viewing via private PAIR. It is not an email sent by the examiner and does not alter the Office policy prohibiting an applicant or examiner from engaging in improper email correspondence. See MPEP section 502.03.

The e-Office Action Pilot Program will begin with a limited number of participants. The Pilot Program will last approximately six months. Upon the conclusion of the pilot program the success of the pilot will be evaluated. At that time decisions will be made as to whether or not to make modifications to the e-Office action program and whether or not to permanently implement the program.

Thus, if the pilot program is successful and a decision is made to permanently implement the program, it is expected that the e-Office Action Program will go into full production sometime around June 2007 at which point the program will be open to all users (registered patent attorney or agent of record, or a pro se inventor who is a named inventor in the application associated with the customer number through which Private PAIR is accessed) having a Customer Number and access to Private PAIR.


For further information please contact the Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 571-272-4100 Monday through Friday from 6 a.m. to 12 Midnight Eastern Time or send e-mail to [ebc@uspto.gov](mailto:ebc@uspto.gov)

Date 12/19/2006

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **WILLIAM D. CUMMING** whose telephone number is 571-272-7861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 11am-8:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on 571-272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
WILLIAM D. CUMMING  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2617

Wdc



UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND  
TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

William Cumming  
Primary Patent Examiner  
[William.Cumming@uspto.gov](mailto:William.Cumming@uspto.gov)